

Co-occurrence-based learning

How much information do we lose while using approximate probabilities of discrete values?

Klaudia Balcer, klaudia.balcer@cs.uni.wroc.pl Computational Intelligence Research Group, Institute of Computer Science, University of Wrocław

Hidden Markov Model



 π , **A** - Markov chain, *B* - emission matrix,

GaussianHMM

 π , **A** - Markov chain, *B* - family of Gaussian distributions,

DenseHMM [1] & GaussianDenseHMM [2]

 π , **A** - obtained from embedding, *B* - emission matrix or a family of Gaussian distributions,

Co-occurrence matrix for discrete emission

Empirical co-occurrence matrix:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{VW}^{gt} = \frac{\#\{t: y_t = V, y_{t+1} = W\}}{T-1}$$

Example:

Sequence from HMM (letters are observations, colors are hidden states) with underlined co-occurrences of the values a and **b**:

a, *c*, *a*, *b*, *b*, *c*, *a*, *a*, *b*, *c*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *c*, *b*, *b*.

Counts the co-occurrences for each pair of values:

	a	b	С	d
а	1	2	1	0
b	0	2	3	0

Co-occurrence matrix derived from model parameters:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{VW} = P(Y_t = V, Y_{t+1} = W)$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} P(X_t = i) B_i(V) \mathbf{A}_{ij} B_j(W).$

Let us assume that π is the stationary distribution of the Markov chain:



FlowHMM [3]

 π , **A** - Markov chain, *B* - family of normalizing flow models,



$\mathbf{Q} = B^T \mathbf{S} B$, where $\mathbf{S}_{ij} = \pi_i A_{ij}(1)$

Discretization of continuous values

Let us define a (minimal) hypercube $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}$ containing all observed values $y_{1:T}$ and fix $M^{\mathcal{D}} \in N_+$. Let us define a discrete set $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{D}} = \{v_1^{\mathcal{D}}, \dots, v_{M^{\mathcal{D}}}^{\mathcal{D}}\}, v_i^{\mathcal{D}} \in \hat{\mathcal{Y}}.$ **Discretization** [4] is a function $\mathcal{D}: \mathbf{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{D}}:$

> $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{y}) = \arg\min \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{v}\|.$ $v \in \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{D}}$





Exact probabilities in discretization

$$P(\mathcal{D}(y) = v^{\mathcal{D}} | x = i) = \int_{\{y: \mathcal{D}(y) = v^{\mathcal{D}}\}} B_i(y) dy$$
(3)



- ► find the region boundaries (the set of observations) resulting in a given discrete value)
- integrate the PDF within the region

Approximate probabilities in discretize

$$P(\mathcal{D}(y) = v^{\mathcal{D}} | x = i) \approx \frac{B_i(v^{\mathcal{D}})}{\sum_{w^{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{D}}} B_i(w^{\mathcal{D}})}$$
(4)



calculate the PDF function in the discrete values normalize the obtained PDF values (to assure obtaining a discrete probability distribution)

Comparison of exact and approximate probabilities





prob_type = exact

prob_tvpe = approx



One needs also to discretize the probabilities; see Eq. (3), (4).

Training schema

EM
GD
We can use SGD, Adam, etc., for optimizing

$$\mathbf{S}, B = \arg \min_{\tilde{\mathbf{S}}, \tilde{B}} \| \mathbf{Q}^{gt} - \mathbf{Q} \|.$$

We optimize uncontrained matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}, \tilde{B}$ and convert them via softmax:

$$\mathbf{S}, B = \arg\min_{\tilde{\mathbf{S}}, \tilde{B}} \left\| \mathbf{Q}^{gt} - \left(\frac{\exp(\tilde{B})}{\sum_{w=1}^{M} \exp(\tilde{B}_{.w})} \right)^{T} \frac{\exp(\tilde{S})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \exp(\tilde{S}_{ij})} \frac{\exp(\tilde{S})}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \exp(\tilde{S}_{ij})} \frac{\exp(\tilde{B})}{\sum_{w=1}^{M} \exp(\tilde{B}_{w})} \right\|$$







 $d_{TV}(\mu,\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |\mu(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathcal{D}}) - \nu(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathcal{D}})|, \ KL(\mu \| \nu) = \sum_{i} \mu(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathcal{D}}) \log \frac{\mu(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathcal{D}})}{\nu(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathcal{D}})}$

Conclusions		
	exact	approx
fast to compute	×	\checkmark
available for all distributions	×	\checkmark
domain aware	\checkmark	×
accurate	\checkmark	X

References

[1] Joachim Sicking, Maximilian Pintz, Maram Akila, and Tim Wirtz. Densehmm: Learning hidden markov models by learning dense representations, 2020.

w=1 or P(D, w)

NMF [5] We will use the pseudo inverses (from Eq. (1)):

 $S = B^{-1}Q(B^T)^{-1},$ $B = ((\mathbf{S}B)^{-1}\mathbf{Q})^T,$ $B = \mathbf{Q}(B^T \mathbf{S})^{-1}.$

(2a)

(2b)

(2c)

The update procedure is:

pseudo inverse > ReLU > softmax.

In each iteration, we update the following:

- **S** with Eq. (2a)
- \blacktriangleright *B* with Eq. (2b)
- **S** with Eq. (2a)
- \blacktriangleright B with Eq. (2c)

- Many multivariate distributions don't have analytical formulas for CDFs (thus, we estimate the exact probabilities with Monte Carlo methods, which is timeconsuming to perform in each training step)
- The approximation of probabilities disrupts the distribution of discrete values visibly (over 10%) and may affect the learning process.
- Exact values result in better likelihood than approxi-mate.
- The random uniform grid is expected to work better than the ordinary grid.
- Discrete likelihood is incomparable to continuous likelihood.

- [2] Klaudia Balcer and Piotr Lipinski. Extending densehmm with continuous emission. ICONIP, 2023.
- Pawel Lorek, Rafał Nowak, Tomasz Trzcinski, and Maciej Zieba. FlowHMM: Flow-based continuous hidden markov models. In Alice H. Oh, Alekh Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, and Kyunghyun Cho, editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2022.
- Klaudia Balcer. Flowhmm. discretisation in the co-occurrence-based learning algorithm. Master's thesis, University of Wrocław, 2023.
- Balaji Lakshminarayanan and Raviv Raich. Non-negative matrix factoriza-[5] tion for parameter estimation in hidden markov models. In 2010 IEEE International Workshop on Machine Learning for Signal Processing. IEEE, aug 2010.

Acknowledgement

This work is a part of a national research project on discovering hidden structure in large datasets (supported by the Polish National Science Centre (NCN) under grant OPUS 18 no. 2019/35/B/ST6/04379).

I acknowledge the support of **G-Research** in the form of a Travel Grant, which

enabled me to attend this conference.