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## Explainable clustering



A threshold tree is a binary tree-
where each non-leaf node is an axis-aligned threshold cut.
An explainable $k$-clustering is one formed by a threshold tree with $k$ leaves.
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First introduced and studied by Moshkovitz, Dasgupta, Rashtchian, Frost (ICML 2020)
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$$
O P T=a+b+c+d+e+f
$$
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## Moshkovitz-Dasgupta-Rashtchian-Frost analysis


\#points separated by min-cut $\cdot$ distance to furthest center $\leqslant O P T$

$$
O P T(\text { left })+O P T(\text { right }) \leqslant O P T
$$

cost increase at each level $\leqslant$ OPT

Price of explainability is at most height of the tree, and hence at most $\boldsymbol{k}$.

Also, there are instances where the price of explainability is at least $\log k$.
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## The independent works in 2021

Makarychev and Shan:
$O(\log k \log \log k)$

Gamlath, Jia, Polak, Svensson: $O\left(\log ^{2} k\right)$

Esfandiari, Mirrokni, Narayanan:
$O(\min (\log k \log \log k, d \log d))$

## Finally, in 2023

Gupta, Pitty, Svensson, Yuan:
$O(\log k)$
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## Open problems

What is price of explainability for $k$-means?
It is between $k$ and $k \log k$.

What if we allows more than one dimension in threshold cuts?

Under what natural clusterability assumptions we could obtain a lower price of explainability?

Thank you!


[^0]:    $0.6 \cdot$ weight $+0.7 \cdot$ age $+2 \cdot$ vaccinated $\leqslant 1.5$ AND
    $0.9 \cdot$ location $+1.4 \cdot$ weight $+0.7 \cdot$ age $\geqslant 2.5$

