What AI developers need to know about AI governance

Kacper Łodzikowski Vice President, Al Capabilities

ML in PL 28 Oct 2023





Governance is one of top 3 challenges for AI adoption

- Examples:
 - Trivago fined \$45M (model promoted booking site offers instead of best rates)
 - Dutch tax authorities fined €2.75M (biased fraud detection model)
 - **Deliveroo** fined €2.5M (blackbox model for rider management)
- Some AI developers lack proper legal support
- Others lack basic legal training
- Landscape evolving over past year



Health warning

- Educational purpose:
 - not legal advice,
 - not company stance.
- No clear-cut answers. *It depends* on your:
 - case,
 - jurisdiction,
 - risk appetite.
- Find a legal partner to help you decide.



Licences

Software, models, data

If it's free to download, it's not always free to use



First click on Terms of Use & search for commercial



4.2 **Commercial Activities.** You agree that you will not (except to the extent expressly authorized by the Agreement or under a separate license agreement with the Company):

(a) Reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, trade, resell or exploit for any commercial purpose any portion of Company Properties, or access to or use of Company Properties;

(b) Use Company Properties or any part thereof for any commercial or business purpose; or

If it's open-source, it doesn't mean commercial use is free



License agreement breaches are real

- Most businesses audited at least once per year by a vendor
- Additionally: whistleblowers, competitors, public disclosures, code leaks, etc.
- Breaches are **rarely high-profile**, but **costly**:
 - outstanding license fees,
 - audit cost,
 - retroactive payments,
 - penalties.



But it's for *non-commercial research purposes*, and I do **R&D**. Can I build a **prototype** for client & **present** it at a conference?

LLaMA: Open and Efficient Foundation Language Models

arXiv

Abstract

We introduce LLaMA, a collection of foundation language models ranging from 7B to 65B parameters. We train our models on trillions of tokens, and show that it is possible to train state-of-the-art models using publicly available datasets exclusively, without resorting to proprietary and inaccessible datasets. In particular, LLaMA-13B outperforms GPT-3 (175B) on most benchmarks, and LLaMA-65B is competitive with the best models, Chinchilla70B and PaLM-540B. We release all our models to the research community.

- No clear definition of commercial use
- Consider context & purpose
- Rule of thumb: monetary compensation or commercial advantage
- Applies to academic research, e.g. if partially supported by private funding (even w/o intention to monetise findings)

The model/data is commercially licensed

Before you commit, what else do you need to do apart from implementing it?

- **Derivatives:** do you want to be able to modify it?
- Sharealike: are you okay with disclosing your work under the same licence?
- IP protection: do you want to be able to patent your work? (e.g. Apache vs MIT)
- Other use cases, e.g. standalone model hosting may be not allowed (e.g. Falcon)

Choose wisely when open-sourcing your own work



- Use one of many decision trees
- If you aim to support **open science**, use a **commercial licence**, possibly attribution + copyleft (e.g. GNU GPL)
- Note: different licences for data, e.g. CDLA-Sharing-1.0

Copyright Model input / output

Can I use copyrighted data to train a model?

The Atlantic

REVEALED: THE AUTHORS Whose pirated books are Powering generative ai

Stephen King, Zadie Smith, and Michael Pollan are among thousands of writers whose copyrighted works are being used to train large language models.

By Alex Reisner

Editor's note: This article is part of The Atlantic's series on Books3.

Training on copyrighted data depends on jurisdiction

- <u>Some countries</u> (e.g. Japan, South Korea, Israel) allow a copyright exception for text & data mining (TDM)
- <u>EU:</u> *somewhat* allows TDM under 2019 Copyright Directive:
 - 1. for the purpose of scientific research by institutions,
 - 2. by any entity for **any purpose**, but allows rightsholders to **opt-out**.
- Caveats: lawful access, proving opt-out, etc.
- No Polish implementation yet



Training on copyrighted data depends on jurisdiction

- <u>USA:</u> fair use interpretation of Copyright Act
 - <u>2015</u>: Google wins vs Authors Guild. Using copyrighted books to create a searchable database is transformative
 - <u>Currently:</u> Big tech using this defence
- Both sides hoping to set a precedent
- Plans to adapt copyright laws to Al age

This recognition of the law's history in comparative context should compel policymakers, who might be inclined to discount this public interest dimension in current copyright debates, to actively distinguish today's circumstances from that of the past. They, along with any interest groups or lobbyists that promote a particular copyright agenda, would have to clearly and cogently 'make their case' for derogating from this policy dimension or any other 'transcendent' principle, at least in those jurisdictions that have been the subject of close historical scrutiny. The more that scholars engage in uncovering the many copyright 'origin stories' around the world, the more a global picture will emerge that will provide greater depth of understanding on the comparative aspects of the law, including any areas of convergence or divergence.

Pages 46 to 128 are not shown in this preview.



Regardless of who's liable, big tech indemnifies you

Microsoft announces new Copilot Copyright Commitment for customers

Sep 7, 2023 | Brad Smith, Vice Chair and President, Hossein Nowbar, CVP and Chief Legal Officer

Microsoft's AI-powered Copilots are changing the way we work, making customers more efficient while unlocking new levels of creativity. While these transformative tools open doors to new possibilities, they are also raising new questions. Some customers are concerned about the risk of IP infringement claims if they use the output produced by generative AI. This is understandable, given recent public inquiries by authors and artists regarding how their own work is being used in conjunction with AI models and services.

Shared fate: Protecting customers with generative AI indemnification

October 13, 2023

Phil Venables

Neal Suggs VP Legal, Google Cloud VP, TI Security & CISO, Google Cloud

To our customers:

At Google Cloud, we put your interests first. This means that when you choose to work with us, we become partners on a journey of shared innovation, shared support, and shared fate.

Can I use a model's output to train a distilled model?

That depends on T&Cs:

- Most vendors (e.g. **OpenAl, Google, Anthropic) explicitly forbid** to use their models' outputs to develop (competing) models
- Some use permissive licences inspired by Apache 2.0, e.g. Falcon

Bonus points: avoiding double trouble such as Alpaca (Llama 1 tuned on GPT 3.5)

Evolving approach to web scraping

• Data protection regulators:

- Public data is still subject to privacy laws
- Platforms & customers urged to protect data, e.g. captchas, rate limits
- OpenAI sued for stealing private information
- Scraping to be treated as **data breach**?
- Companies aim to **prevent scraping** (BBC) or **monetise API-based data access** (Reddit)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
PLAINTIFFS P.M., K.S., B.B., S.J., N.G., C.B., S.N., J.P., S.A., L.M., D.C., C.L., C.G, R.F., N.J., and R.R., individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	 Case No.: <u>CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT</u> 1. VIOLATION OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510, et seq.
vs. OPENAI LP, OPENAI INCORPORATED, OPENAI GP, LLC, OPENAI STARTUP FUND I, LP, OPENAI STARTUP FUND GP I, LLC, OPENAI STARTUP FUND MANAGEMENT LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	 VIOLATION OF THE COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT, 18 U.S.C § 1030 VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA INVASION OF PRIVACY ACT ("CIPA"), CAL. PENAL CODE § 631
Defendants.	4. VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200, <i>et seq.</i>

Model output copyright: uncharted territory?





Images:

- US copyright law applies to humans, **not animals/machines**
- Midjourney <u>not</u> considered **tool** that can be **used** by author
- Sufficient human authorship: retouched images no, book yes
- Copyright Office won lawsuits, but court admitted challenges

Code & text:

- As above, verbatim LLM output could go into public domain
- Copyright only after heavy edits or additions, e.g. **dev labels Al code snippets**, so that the entire application can be copyrighted

Regulations Model deployment & operations

Tidal wave of AI regulations

- <u>China</u> leads on strict regulations, e.g. devs liable for model output & training data copyright infringement
- <u>EU</u>: GDPR already addresses algorithmic transparency, profiling consent & safeguards, data minimisation
- EU: AI Act in final consultation
- <u>US & UK</u> to follow (Brussels effect)
- <u>Spain</u> sets up 1st Al agency in EU
- <u>Brazil</u> looking to lead in S. America



• etc...

EU AI Act proposes a *risk-based* safety framework



Pre/post-market requirements for high-risk system providers:

- training data governance,
- technical documentation,
- risk management,
- human oversight,
- accidents reported to authorities.

Significant model finetuning can make you the provider.

Open-source models **more likely to meet obligations?**

Other things we won't cover today

- Other risks, e.g. international data transfers, esp. from EU to US
- Other solutions, e.g. regulatory sandboxes (e.g. US autonomous vehicles)
- Proposals for international interoperability (e.g. UN PNAI)



Let's continue the discussion online & in Poznań



Kacper Łodzikowski



meetup.com/pearson-ai-tech